

PRESENTERS



Kimberly Lawrence, Greg Kelly Law, Wellington

Kimberly advises clients dealing with difficult trust and estate problems, structures clients' affairs prior to death in order to minimise the risk of estate litigation, and acts in relation to trust and estate litigation of all kinds. She is a member of the NZLS Wellington Branch Trusts and Estates Law Committee, writes regularly on trust and estate issues, and will be presenting on testamentary capacity in estate litigation at the 2019 Trusts Conference. Kimberly is presently involved in writing for the upcoming text *Mental Capacity Law and Practice in New Zealand* which is expected to be published in 2019.



David Tyree, Rainey Collins, Wellington

David has been in practice as a family lawyer since 2005. He has extensive knowledge in all areas of family law, including relationship property, care of children, guardianship, domestic violence, proceedings under the Protection of Personal and Property Rights Act regarding vulnerable people, paternity, adoption, Hague convention proceedings and estate issues. David acts both for parties in proceedings and accepts appointments from the Family Court to act for children or vulnerable people.

Cover and text stocks used in this publication are from Forestry Stewardship Council certified mills, manufactured under the environmentally responsible paper manufactured environmental management system ISO 14001, using pulp from well managed forests and other controlled sources.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. PRE-PROBATE WILL CHALLENGES.....	3
LEGAL TEST: LACK OF TESTAMENTARY CAPACITY	3
LEGAL TEST: UNDUE INFLUENCE.....	4
INDICATORS THAT THERE MAY BE GROUNDS FOR A PRE-PROBATE WILL CHALLENGE	5
<i>Factors relevant to an enquiry into undue influence</i>	5
<i>Factors relevant to an enquiry into testamentary capacity</i>	6
PRACTICAL CHALLENGES IN BRINGING A PRE-PROBATE WILL CHALLENGE	6
<i>Testamentary capacity</i>	6
<i>Undue influence</i>	8
PROCEDURE FOR BRINGING A PRE-PROBATE WILL CHALLENGE.....	8
<i>Obtaining an order nisi</i>	9
<i>Discovery</i>	9
<i>Medical report</i>	9
<i>Funding</i>	10
PRE-PROBATE CLAIMS: CONCLUSION	10
3. FAMILY PROTECTION ACT 1955 CLAIMS.....	11
WHO CAN CLAIM?.....	11
THE LEGAL TEST	12
ADEQUATE PROVISION – MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT.....	13
ADEQUATE PROVISION – MORAL DUTY AND POTENTIAL AWARDS.....	15
<i>Financial need</i>	15
<i>Relationship with the deceased and contributions to the estate</i>	16
<i>Size of the estate</i>	17
<i>Competing claims</i>	17
TIME LIMITS	18
THE COURT PROCESS	19
<i>Which Court?</i>	19
<i>Drafting</i>	20
ROLE OF THE TRUSTEES AND EXECUTORS/ADMINISTRATORS	20
FAMILY PROTECTION ACT 1955 CLAIMS: CONCLUSION.....	20
4. LAW REFORM (TESTAMENTARY PROMISES) ACT 1949 CLAIMS.....	21
ELEMENTS OF A TPA CLAIM	21
<i>Services</i>	22
<i>Promise</i>	23
<i>Nexus between the services and the promise</i>	24
<i>Failure to reward</i>	25
THE ROLE OF TPA PROCEEDINGS IN AN FPA CLAIM	26
LIMITATION OF TPA CLAIMS – 12-MONTH TIME LIMIT.....	26
<i>Delay</i>	27
<i>Arguable claim</i>	27
LIMITATION OF TPA CLAIMS – FINAL DISTRIBUTION	27
LIMITATION OF TPA CLAIMS – FOLLOWING ORDERS.....	28
TPA CLAIMS: CONCLUSION	28