

## Aviation Community Medical Liaison group

### Comments on Terms of Reference

1.2 Add words “and views” after exchange of information. Delete words “and those medical matters that have an impact on aviation in general” and insert “and aviation medicine in general”.

Comment – the forum is very much about sharing information and views. Second proposed changes shortens sentence but conveys same intent.

1.3 Suggested addition “ 4. Advice on policy settings particularly in the Intewrnational operating environment”. Clearly much of our aviation medical system is directly linked to ICAO and its recommended practices and standards. There is presently no method of formally exchanging views and participating in the development of policy settings in this environment.

1.4 Regulatory Operating model – and 3.2 Objectives of ACMLG there seems to be a disconnect in some areas between the two . If the ACMLG is to “operationalsie the “Regulatory Operating model” then it should say how relative to seven bullet points under the characteristics how the ACMLG achieve or assists towards achieving those characteristics – we certainly think that ACMLG is a good start to implement those characteristics. For example one of the goals is risked based proportionate regulatory intervention – it should be noted that one of the basic principles of good risk management practice is consultation so we would see this proposed committee as central to that.

3.3 The functions appear to be limited to advise of changes and provide feed back on proposals it seems that the functions could be wider than that such as providing advice in terms of appropriate processes; increase awareness of emerging issues such as the discussion point raised by the PMO of his focus on Drugs and Alcohol and fatigue management. We are wondering iof we don’t take one of these topics and really work it through in terms of building awareness, communication strategy, gathering or resources etc, assisting woith development of an implementation plan. At the end of the day we always respect it is for CAA to determine how it will run its business but we do believe we can work collaboratively together on issues of critical importance to the community.

4.3 Please note that our name has formally changed to Aviation New Zealand. AOPA is mentioned twice on the list. Should the invitation be open to any other interested party who may be able to make a contribution. The objective is to share collective wisdom.

#### Work Programme

Would it be beneficial to establish a clear and transparent work programme ?

An issue of interest is how will the process of GD's be concluded. We are mindful for example that the GD for colour vision is out for consultation a third time – the first two consultations not being concluded. It is important that the consultation process is concluded in a timely manner and in our view there should be an exchange of information once all submissions are received much in the way rules are developed ie there is a period for discussion and debate within working parties.

Irene King

Chief Executive